1 2	Acting California Corporations Commissioner	
3	Acting Deputy Commissioner	
4	Senior Corporations Counsel	
5	320 West 4 <sup>th</sup> Street, Ste. 750	
6	Telephone: (213) 576-7604 Fax: (213) 576-7181	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS	
9	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	11	File No.: 963-1729
12	CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS ) COMMISSIONER, )	ACCUSATION
13	Complainant,	
14	11	
15	VS.	
16	BEST ESCROW-CERRITOS and CHUNG ) KAM,	
17		
18	Respondents.	
19	The Complainant is informed and believes, a	and based upon such information and belief,
20	alleges and charges Respondents as follows:	
21	I	
22	Respondent Best Escrow-Cerritos ("Best Escrow") is an escrow agent licensed by the	
23	California Corporations Commissioner ("Commissioner" or "Complainant") pursuant to the Escrow	
24	Law of the State of California (California Financial Code Section 17000 et seq.). Best Escrow has	
25	its principal place of business located at 146 W. Bastanchury Road, Fullerton, California 92835.	
26	Respondent Chung Kam ("Kam") is, and was at all times relevant herein, the president and	
27		, <u>F</u> <del>MID</del>
28		

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28 П

On or about October 7, 2005, the Commissioner commenced a regulatory examination of the books and records of Best Escrow. The outstanding check review portion of the regulatory examination disclosed that Kam, on at least four occasions, had caused unauthorized disbursements of trust funds in violation of California Financial Code section 17414(a)(1) and California Code of Regulations, Title 10, sections 1738 and 1738.2. Each of the unauthorized disbursements of trust funds described in paragraphs 1-4 below also caused a shortage to exist in the trust account in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 1738.1. Pursuant to the demand of the Commissioner, Best Escrow cured the trust account shortage created by the unauthorized disbursements described below.

The unauthorized disbursements discovered during the outstanding check review were as follows:

## 1. Best Escrow Number 4110

On or about June 29, 2005, Respondents caused trust funds in the amount of \$501.00 to be disbursed to Kam by causing trust check number 124967 to be deposited into the account of Best Management Company, a company owned by Kam. Trust check number 124967 was issued to replace outstanding trust check number 115632 dated October 2, 2002 payable to ACSC for \$501.00. There was no authorization for this disbursement to Kam.

## 2. Best Escrow Number 4129

(a) On or about June 29, 2005, Respondents caused trust funds in the amount of \$305.00 to be disbursed to Kam by causing trust check number 124965 to be deposited into the account of Best Management Company. Trust check number 124965 was issued to replace outstanding trust check number 115831 dated October 30, 2002 payable to First American Home Protection for \$305.00. There was no authorization for this disbursement to Kam.

## 3. Best Escrow Number 4339

On or about June 29, 2005, Respondents caused trust funds in the amount of (a) \$43.00 to be disbursed to Kam by causing trust check number 124963 to be deposited into the account of Best Management Company. Trust check number 124963 was issued to replace

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2 3 There was no authorization for this disbursement to Kam. 4 (b) 5 6 7 8 9 10 Ш 11 12 13 (b) 14 15 division. 16 17 (a)

outstanding trust check number 122678 dated July 23, 2004, which had been issued to replace outstanding trust check number 117080 dated March 12, 2003 payable to Outsource for \$43.00.

On or about June 29, 2005, Respondents caused trust funds in the amount of \$122.00 to be disbursed to Kam by causing trust check number 124964 to be deposited into the account of Best Management Company. Trust check number 124964 was issued to replace outstanding trust check number 122679 dated July 23, 2004, which had been issued to replace outstanding trust check number 117081 dated March 12, 2003 payable to Credit Management for \$122.00. There was no authorization for this disbursement to Kam.

California Financial Code section 17608 provides in pertinent part:

The commissioner may, after notice and a reasonable opportunity to be heard, suspend or revoke any license if he finds that:

The licensee has violated any provision of this division or any rule made by the commissioner under and within the authority of this

California Financial Code section 17423 provides in pertinent part:

- The commissioner may, after appropriate notice and opportunity for hearing, by order, ... bar from any position of employment, management, or control any escrow agent, or any other person, if the commissioner finds either of the following:
- (1) That the . . . bar is in the public interest and that the person has committed or caused a violation of this division or rule or order of the commissioner, which violation was either known or should have been known by the person committing or causing it or has caused material damage to the escrow agent or to the public.

IV

Complainant finds that, by reason of the foregoing, Respondents have violated California Financial Code section 17414(a)(1) and California Code of Regulations, title 10, sections 1738, 1738.1 and 1738.2 and it is in the best interests of the public to revoke the escrow agent's license of Respondent Best Escrow, and to bar Respondent Kam from any position of employment, management or control of any escrow agent.

28

1	WHEREFORE, IT IS PRAYED that the escrow agent's license of Respondent Best Escrow	
2	be revoked, and that Respondent Kam be barred from any position of employment, management or	
3	control of any escrow agent.	
4	Dated: March 9, 2006	
5	Los Angeles, CA	WAYNE STRUMPFER Acting California Corporations Commissioner
6 7		
8		By
9		Judy L. Hartley Senior Corporations Counsel
10		Semoi Corporations Counsel
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		